

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,708	07/25/2000	Joel Goobich	ECC-01800	6812
28960	7590 09/26/2002	•		·
HAVERSTO	CK & OWENS LLP		EXAM	INER .
162 NORTH V SUNNYVALI	WOLFE ROAD E, CA 94086		KUHNS, A	ALLAN R
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	3
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m	<u>Y</u>	<u>-3</u>
M	<u>Y-</u>	?

## Application No. 09/624,708 GOOBICH Examiner Group Art Unit 1732

	KUHNS   1732	-
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appear		ce address—
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	O EXPIRE ONE MONTH(S) FROM THE	MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be out.  It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.)	considered timely. munication. S.C. § 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	ot for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits</b> 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	s is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
S Claim(s) / - 39		
Of the above claim(s)		m consideration.
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
/- 3g	are subject to restric	ction or election
Claim(s) _ / _ ✓ /		
Application Papers	requirement	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is  approved  disapproved.	
Application Papers	requirement is  approved  disapproved.	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is  approved  disapproved.	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj	requirement is  approved  disapproved.	
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj  The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement is  approved  disapproved.	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement is approved disapproved.	
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	requirement is approved disapproved.	
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	requirement is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the:	requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	requirement is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received. received in Application No.	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  ints have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  ints have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  ints have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. hts have been received hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. hts have been received hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	13
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement  is approved disapproved.  coted to by the Examiner  runder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  nts have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  No(s) Int rvi w Summary, PTO–4	13 pplication, PTO-15

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00) Part of Paper No. 3

Serial Number: 09/624,708

Art Unit: 1732

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

Claims 1-21, drawn to a decorating medium or system for decorating surfaces, I. classified in class 521, subclass 142.

Claims 22-39, drawn to a method for creating relief art on a substrate, classified in II. class 264, subclass 46.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because: 2.

Inventions I and II are related as product or system and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product or system as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product or system as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product or system (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product or system as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product or system such as one in which the decorating medium or system is placed in a mold having a shape complementary to the desired relief pattern, caused to expand to produce the relief pattern, the relief pattern is removed from the mold and subsequently applied to a substrate.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 3. separate status in the art requiring divergent fields of search for the respective inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/624,708

الزخ

Art Unit: 1732

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jan Silbaugh, can be reached on (703) 308-3829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

09-25-02

alla R. Kuhm